First page of Weisberg's 12/7/68 report, that Boxley was feeding back to Garrison highlighted and Garrison's press release of two days later giving a false and self- and face-saving explanation for it.

2one of [illegible]

Memo on Boxley reports  Harold Weisberg 12/7/ 68

Just before midnight Thursday I was given what is said to be the Perrin file and read it hastily, midst much conversation and confusion. Last night I was given a few of his other memos and the transcript of a phone conversation with "M". I make brief comment on a few of these.

The 5-17-68 memo "NSRP" quotes one "DR-I", who I take to be one of the Dallas researchers, to the effect that "the 'true head' of the National States Rights Party' was a certain RETIRED ADMIRAL JOHN CROMELIN". The admiral is a well-known fascist, a violent anti-Semite, and there can be no connection between the appearance of his name in the " Thunderbolt" and his membership in or leadership of the NSRP. That he had not there been "designated as head of the NSRP" is not sinister but factual. The officers are publicly known and identified. If he were head it would be public. This small party does hold State conventions and meetings, does run candidates for public office, etc.

Generally what is lacking here is lacking everywhere (aside from fact). That is any appraisal whatsoever of the dependability of the source. Any official receiving what is presented as information from one he trusts is entitled to believe that, in the absence of indication to the contrary, only what is responsible is being reported, that the reporter is satisfied about the dependability of his source. The fact is that the quoted sources are, from reading of the reports alone, neither dependable nor impartial. Even where there was derrogatory information available about key sources, it was withheld from the memos, as I will cite in the Kruschevski case. In some cases, the content of these reports is an obvious feedback of what Jim Garrison had been saying and was then in the news, as with the sewers, where an entirely unevaluated source in the 3-19-68 memo is quoted with a straight face as having heard "Bradley propose the use of the storm drain system as a location for the prospective assassination of President Kennedy and had suggested a manhole escape route from the system."

Nowhere in this memo, headed "Supplement to BILL TURNER'S memorandum of EDGAR EUGENE BRADLEY" is there any indication that the Aydlette's were sworn enemies of Bradley and were suing him, or vice versa. This is in the files, was well known in California. I personally supplied this information, in some detail, having been given it for this purpose by Art Kevin. Mrs. A is "certain" she saw Gordon Novel visit Clint Wheat with Loran Hall - at precisely the time there was radio and printed news attention to these names in her area (some of it then coming from my own intensive appearances there). There is much of this, and any is too much.

The July 1, 1968 memo inaccurately entitled BARBARA REID interview", actually a joint interview with her of Sam Brandenberg and "Chris" Christian (and I suggest

The reason that New Orleans has jurisdiction over some parts of this national tragedy is that a large part of the preparation for the assassination took place in New Orleans. Although the basic origin of planning was likely Washington, in view of the indications of extensive government involvement, New Orleans was used for many parts of the operation. The warfare apparatus of the federal government made a serious mistake in using New Orleans for this federal project. Federal officials and federal projects do not have a great deal of influence -- to use an understatement -- in the City of New Orleans. We will continue to press against those individuals who participated in the assassination of John Kennedy in every case where their activity took place in New Orleans and all the power in Washington will not stop us in any way.

Federal intelligence efforts to interfere with the investigation into the assassination of President John F. Kennedy have been occurring since the beginning of the inquiry. The work of this office in bringing out the truth about the assassination -- and about the federal government's concealment of the facts from the American people -- will continue in spite of these obstructions.

END